UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,409	07/23/2004	Yoshiharu Uchata	10921.235USWO	3948
Hamre, Schum	7590 10/29/2007 ann, Mueller & Larson, P.C	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 2902			REYNOLDS, STEVEN ALAN	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0902		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	10/502,409	UEHATA, YOSHIHARU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this assumption to	Steven Reynolds	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprepried for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirged apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2007.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-17 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-6 and 8-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical strength 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on 8/23/2007. Claims 2 and 7 were cancelled. Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leenders (US 5,251,800). Leenders discloses a kit holding adapter capable of holding an analyzer for analyzing a target analyte in a sample together with a sampling tool for taking a sample, the sampling tool including an operating portion and a sampling tip, the kit holding adapter comprising: a first holding portion (52) capable of holding the analyzer; and a second holding portion (holding portion between walls 40/24 and strap 80) capable of holding the sample tool; wherein the second holding portion includes an upper opening (opening formed at the top of the strap 80) capable of exposing the operation portion of the sampling tool, and a lower opening (opening formed at the bottom of strap 80) capable exposing the sampling tip of the sampling tool; and the first holding portion includes an upper opening capable of partly exposing an analyzer, and a side opening (54) communicating with the upper opening of the first holding portion.

Regarding the intended use of the claimed invention "designed for holding an analyzer for analyzing a target analyte in a sample together with a sampling tool for taking a sample, the sampling tool including an operating portion and a sampling tip", it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Leenders discloses the first holding portion and the second holding portion separated by a partition wall (40), the partition wall being formed with a cutout (54) for communicating an inside of the first holding portion with an inside of the second holding portion; and the first and second holding portions are integrally formed as one piece. It has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. *In re Hotte*, 177 USPQ 396, 328 (CCPA 1973).

Regarding claims 6, 8 and 10-15, Leenders discloses a main body integrated with the first and second holding portions capable of holding the analyzer and sampling tool; and an article holding portion (22) capable of containing articles used for at least one of the analyzer and the sampling tool, or other items used in conjunction with the sample analysis of the sampling; the article holding portion includes a plurality of containing rooms (92 and 48); the article containing portion is integrally formed with the main body as one piece; a spacer (60/90) capable of providing a spacing between an

Art Unit: 3728

inner surface of the first holding portion and an outer surface of an analyzer, and an inner surface of the second holding portion and an outer surface of a sampling tool; the spacer comprises a plurality of protrusions (pair of strips 60 and pad 90) projecting from the inner surfaces of the first and second holding portions; and the protrusions are bendable (made from a resilient material) when articles are inserted into the first and second holding portions; the plurality of protrusions include plural kinds (60 and 90) of protrusions having different heights; the spacer is formed of an elastic material; the analyzer can be a blood sugar measuring device; and the sampling tool can be a lancing device used for piercing a lancing element into skin.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3728

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leenders (US 5,251,800). As described above, Leenders discloses the claimed invention except for the article holding portion being removably attached to the main body. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Leenders by making the article holding portion removable from the main body in order to allow the device to be taken apart for easier storage. It has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPO 177, 179.

Page 5

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/502,409 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Reynolds whose telephone number is (571) 272-9959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571)272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SR 10/22/07

Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700